

OA0 Rspadskaya

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations for the year ended 31 December 2009

The following is a discussion and analysis of Rspadskaya's consolidated financial information for the year ended 31 December 2009. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with Rspadskaya's consolidated financial statements for the year ended 31 December 2009 prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards. This discussion and analysis contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements under the influence of numerous factors.

General overview

We are a group of integrated companies located in Mezhdurechensk city in the Kemerovo region of Russia: three mines in operation, one mine under construction, a preparation plant, a trading company and companies engaged in transportation and infrastructure development – hereafter referred to collectively as “the Group”. We have leading market positions in Russia with respect to production of coking coals of the GZh (gas fat) and GZhO (gas fat semi-lean) categories and sales of coal concentrate. According to IMC Economic and Energy Consultants Limited (“IMC”), as at 30 June 2006, we had total measured and indicated resources of 1,461 million tonnes, and proved and probable reserves of 781.5 million tonnes, of which 39.0 million tonnes were extracted in the period from 1 July 2006 to 31 December 2009. These resources and reserves were estimated in accordance with the requirements of the JORC Code and do not comprise licenses not audited according to international standards, including coal reserves under licenses acquired in 2009. In 2009 we acquired licenses to develop Rspadskaya-3 and Rspadskaya-4 coal deposits. Based on our estimates these deposits have reserves and indicated resources of approximately 520 million tonnes of coal. We plan to conduct audit of the mineral resources in accordance with the JORC Code in the near future to confirm our estimates. Our reserves will be available for extraction for at least the next 50 years.

Overview of financial results

	2009	2008	Change
	<i>US\$000</i>	<i>US\$000</i>	
Revenue	497,047	1,200,397	(59)%
Cost of sales	(241,996)	(350,714)	(31)%
Gross profit	255,051	849,683	(70)%
Gross profit margin	51%	71%	
Selling and distribution costs	(11,434)	(5,060)	126%
General and administrative expenses	(52,929)	(67,233)	(21)%
Social and social infrastructure maintenance expenses	(5,396)	(16,728)	(68)%
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment	(1,179)	(2,757)	(57)%
Foreign exchange gains/(losses)	(15,529)	(63,352)	(75)%
Other operating income	1,553	2,391	(35)%
Other operating expenses	(7,061)	(11,968)	(41)%
Operating profit	163,076	684,976	(76)%
Operating profit margin	33%	57%	
Dividend income	12	14	(14)%
Interest income	12,322	12,813	(4)%
Interest expense	(25,307)	(27,214)	(7)%
Profit before income tax	150,103	670,589	(78)%
Profit before income tax margin	30%	56%	
Income tax	(32,966)	(139,487)	(76)%
Profit for the period	117,137	531,102	(78)%
Profit for the period margin	24%	44%	
Earnings per share, US\$	0.15	0.68	
EBITDA	255,079	871,049	(71)%
EBITDA margin	51%	73%	

OA O Raspadskaya
Management's discussion and analysis
of financial condition and results of operations
for the year ended 31 December 2009

Key factors affecting our results of operations

Certain factors relating to our business and industry, as well as the political, economic and legal environment in Russia, affect our results of operations. Such factors include, among others, exchange rates, the supply and demand of coking coal, coking coal prices, and production and other costs.

Exchange rates

In reading this discussion and analysis, fluctuations of the Russian ruble/US dollar exchange rate should be taken into consideration. Our performance is significantly affected by these fluctuations. Our functional currency is the Russian ruble, and our assets, revenues and expenses are mostly nominated in rubles whereas our presentation currency is the US dollar. The devaluation of the Russian ruble in the second half of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009 had a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

	2009	2008	Change
Average exchange rate, <i>ruble/US\$</i>	31.7231	24.8553	27.6%
	31 December 2009	31 December 2008	
Exchange rate, <i>ruble/US\$</i>	30.2442	29.3804	2.9%

Supply and demand of coking coal

Our operating results are significantly influenced by the balance of supply and demand of different types of coking coal on the domestic and international markets, which is primarily influenced by fluctuations in the volume of production of steel and coke, by changes in coal production capacity and other related factors. The consumers of our coking coal are large domestic and foreign steel and coke producers. Therefore, our results are influenced by trends in the Russian and international steel markets. Cyclical fluctuations in the steel industry will continue to affect our future sales of coking coal.

Up to the middle of 2008, the demand for metallurgical products and, as a result, for coking coal was constantly growing on both the domestic and international markets. In the fourth quarter of 2008 the demand sharply dropped as a result of the global economy crisis and the related drop in demand for the end products – coke and steel. The direct consequences of the fall were the decrease in sales, production volumes and prices of coking coal in Russia and globally. Having reached its minimum in November 2008, during 2009 the demand for our products has been gradually restoring, which affected positively both sales and production volumes.

Our results can be indirectly affected by the increase in the coal production capacities and the sales volumes of our competitors. We believe that there will be no significant increase in the Russian metallurgical coal capacity in the short- to medium-term mainly because the developing of new mining projects is still at early stages, and the companies lack the adequate finance required for development of those capacities.

We intend to sustain our competitiveness based on the quality of our products, reliability in delivery and stable relationships with our customers.

OAO Raspadskaya
Management's discussion and analysis
of financial condition and results of operations
for the year ended 31 December 2009

Revenue

The table below sets out our domestic and export sales by product types restated under common delivery terms (FCA Mezhdurechensk) by deducting transportation costs from revenues reported in the financial statements in respect of sales of coal and coal concentrate made under other types of delivery terms (FOB Shipping point and DAF Customer destination) for the years ended 31 December:

	2009		2008		Change
	Amount	Share	Amount	Share	
	US\$000		US\$000		
Sales of coal concentrate – Russia	347,932	76%	918,581	78%	(62)%
Sales of coal concentrate – export	111,659	24%	240,958	20%	(54)%
	459,591	100%	1,159,539	98%	(60)%
Sales of raw coal – Russia	681	0%	20,073	2%	(97)%
Total sales of coal concentrate and raw coal	460,272	100%	1,179,612	100%	(61)%
Transportation costs included in sales price under delivery terms other than FCA Mezhdurechensk relating to:					
Sales of coal concentrate – Russia	–		1,659		(100)%
Sales of coal concentrate – export	23,559		12,191		93%
Sales of other goods	8,477		1,230		589%
Rendering of services	4,739		5,705		(17)%
Total revenue	497,047		1,200,397		(59)%

In 2009, 97% of our total revenue was derived from sales of coal concentrate and raw coal (including transportation costs) as compared to 99% in 2008. The sales of raw coal occurred just occasionally.

In 2009, the total sales of coal concentrate decreased by 60% as compared to 2008 due to lower prices and the higher ruble/US dollar average exchange rate.

Growing demand and, starting the fourth quarter of 2009, increased sales prices drove our sales up in 2009. In this way, the total sales of coal concentrate increased from US\$61m in the first quarter to US\$217m in the fourth quarter.

The transportation costs, that were included in delivery prices increased due to an increase in sales volumes to South East Asia under FOB terms.

In 2009, the sales of other goods increased due to increased sales of steel products.

The rendering of services, which mainly included coal transportation services provided locally to other coal companies decreased due to decreased volumes of transported coal.

OAO Raspadskaya
 Management's discussion and analysis
 of financial condition and results of operations
 for the year ended 31 December 2009

Coking coal prices

Both domestic and export prices for coking coal have a material impact on our results. In the first half of 2008, the major driving force of high coking coal prices was the strong demand for metallurgical and coal products on the domestic and export markets. From the fourth quarter of 2008 our coking coal prices have decreased as compared to the third quarter of 2008 as a result of the decrease in global demand for iron and steel. During the first 3 quarters of 2009, our sales prices were about flat and starting the fourth quarter there was a rise in prices – the average price of coal concentrate for 9 months was US\$45.3 for domestic sales and US\$43.3 for export sales whereas for the fourth quarter it was US\$91.8 for domestic sales and US\$72.2 for export sales.

The table below shows our weighted average coal concentrate prices on FCA Mezhdurechensk terms for the years ended 31 December:

	2009	2008	Change
	<i>US\$ per tonne</i>		
Coal concentrate – Russia	62.8	167.3	(62)%
Coal concentrate – export	51.2	156.4	(67)%
Coal concentrate – total	59.6	164.9	(64)%

In 2008, our one year coal sales contracts fixed sales prices for major customers. If market prices changed the contract prices might be changed with the mutual agreement between the parties. Starting 2009, we operate in the frames of long-term contracts with our major Russian customers and negotiate the volumes and prices on the quarterly basis.

Sales volumes

The global financial crisis and a drop in the output of the Russian steel industry materially depressed our sales. A gradual recovery of the metallurgical production and demand in Russia as well as our active export policy have led to a retrieval of our sales volumes in 2009. During 2009, the total sales volume of coal concentrate were on a steady rise and increased from 276 thousand tonnes in January to 843 thousand tonnes in December, having totaled 7,715 thousand tonnes in 2009. Whereas in January 2009 there were no export sales, in December they were 172 thousand tonnes. In the second half of 2009, sales volumes grew by 58% as compared to the previous half of the year. At present, we see a significant growth in demand for coking coal on both domestic and export markets.

Quarterly dynamics of sales volumes of coal concentrate for 2009 are set out in the table below:

	1Q2009	2Q2009	3Q2009	4Q2009
	<i>thousand tonnes, unless stated otherwise</i>			
Russia	963	1,044	1,591	1,938
<i>Change to the previous quarter</i>	<i>20%</i>	<i>8%</i>	<i>52%</i>	<i>22%</i>
Export	337	641	664	537
<i>Change to the previous quarter</i>	<i>n/a</i>	<i>90%</i>	<i>4%</i>	<i>(19)%</i>
Total	1,300	1,685	2,255	2,475
<i>Change to the previous quarter</i>	<i>63%</i>	<i>30%</i>	<i>34%</i>	<i>10%</i>

OAO Raspadskaya
 Management's discussion and analysis
 of financial condition and results of operations
 for the year ended 31 December 2009

The table below sets out the sales volumes of our coal concentrate and raw coal by location of our major customers for the years ended 31 December:

	2009		2008		Change
	Volume	Share	Volume	Share	
	<i>thousands of tonnes</i>		<i>thousands of tonnes</i>		
Coal concentrate – Russia					
MMK	1,115	14%	1,667	23%	(33)%
Evraz	1,023	13%	1,330	18%	(23)%
NLMK	1,053	14%	1,264	17%	(17)%
Kemerovo-Koks	1,022	13%	574	8%	78%
Mechel	732	10%	203	3%	261%
Urals Steel	351	5%	176	2%	99%
Other	240	3%	275	4%	(13)%
	5,536	72%	5,489	75%	1%
Coal concentrate – export					
Ukraine ⁽¹⁾	1,579	20%	1,315	18%	20%
Asia	486	6%	–	0%	n/a
Eastern Europe ⁽²⁾	114	2%	226	3%	(50)%
	2,179	28%	1,541	21%	41%
Coal concentrate – total	7,715	100%	7,030	96%	10%
Raw coal – Russia	26	0%	280	4%	(91)%
Coal concentrate and raw coal⁽³⁾	7,734	100%	7,244	100%	7%

(1) Including sales to three coke plants of Evraz in the amount of 698 thousand tonnes in 2009 and 624 thousand tonnes in 2008;

(2) Including sales to Evraz in the amount of 93 thousand tonnes in 2008;

(3) Raw coal restated in tonnes of coal concentrate at output ratio of 73.4% and 76.3% for the years ended 31 December 2009 and 2008, respectively.

A considerable part of our coal products is sold to domestic steel mills and coke producers such as Magnitogorsk Iron and Steel Plant ("MMK"), Evraz Group ("Evraz"), Novolipetsk Iron and Steel Plant including its subsidiary Altay-Koks ("NLMK"). The share of domestic sales volumes to these three companies accounted for 41% and 59% of our total coal concentrate and raw coal sales volume in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

In 2009, our domestic sales volumes of coal concentrate increased by 1% as compared to 2008. Despite the decrease in sales to our three major customers we managed to increase sales volumes to Mechel, Kemerovo-Koks and Urals Steel (managing company Metalloinvest) in line with our policy on diversification of our client base.

Export sales volumes of coal concentrate by countries for the years ended 31 December are set out in the table below:

	2009		2008		Change
	Volume	Share	Volume	Share	
	<i>thousands of tonnes</i>		<i>thousands of tonnes</i>		
Ukraine	1,579	73%	1,315	85%	20%
China	210	10%	–	0%	n/a
Republic of Korea	138	6%	–	0%	n/a
Japan	138	6%	–	0%	n/a
Hungary	114	5%	124	8%	n/a
Romania	–	0%	93	6%	(100)%
Bulgaria	–	0%	9	1%	(100)%
Coal concentrate – export	2,179	100%	1,541	100%	41%

OA O Raspadskaya

Management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations for the year ended 31 December 2009

In 2009, our share of export sales volume of coal concentrate in the total sales volume of our products increased to 28% as compared to 21% in 2008 due to the total increase in export sales.

Our sales to Ukraine ceased in the fourth quarter of 2008 as a result of a sharp decrease in Ukrainian steel production; however, sales to Ukraine were recommenced in early 2009. At present, we supply major Ukrainian coke plants with our coal concentrate. Ukraine remained our main export market, its share in export sales volumes was 73% and 85% in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Evraz's portion in the total sales volume of coal concentrate and raw coal was 22% in 2009 and 28% in 2008.

The main contribution to the increase by 10% of the sales volumes of coal concentrate was export, which grew by 41%. The increase in export, in its turn, was driven mainly by sales on Asian markets.

In 2008, because of the favorable conditions in the Russian and Ukrainian markets, we did not export to Asia. Since 2009 we have made a move toward Asian export markets: have started to carry out contracts with large metallurgical companies JFE Holding (Japan) and POSCO (Republic of Korea) and has signed contracts for supply on the Chinese market. As a result, Asia is our second largest export market for the first time in our history. In the future, we intend to strengthen our relations with Asian customers in line with our medium-term market strategy on expansion of export sales in total sales of our products by both executing existing contracts and signing new ones.

Production volumes

Our revenue as well as our production costs and costs per tonne are significantly affected by the changes in production volumes, which in their turn depend on sales volumes. During the period of decline in demand for coal products, we minimized the extraction of raw coal not covered by existing contracts and orders. A significant proportion of our costs is fixed which is typical for the extraction industry and, therefore, our production level is one of the key factors in determining our overall cost competitiveness.

The table below sets out our raw coal and coal concentrate production volumes for the years ended 31 December:

	2009	2008	Change
	<i>thousands of tonnes</i>		
Raw coal production	10,560	9,409	12%
Raw coal preparation	10,642	9,220	15%
Coal concentrate production	7,810	7,033	11%
Output ratio	73.4%	76.3%	

The increase in raw coal production volume in 2009 as compared to 2008 was influenced mainly by the increase in demand for coking coal in both the Russian and export markets.

As compared to the first half of 2009, in the second half of 2009 raw coal production grew by 53% – from 4,168 thousand tonnes to 6,392 thousand tonnes.

The decrease in the output ratio was a consequence of an increase in ash content of raw coal as coal was extracted in a geologically disturbed area. In the first quarter of 2010, output ratio was 76.1%.

OAO Raspadskaya
Management's discussion and analysis
of financial condition and results of operations
for the year ended 31 December 2009

Production costs and efficiency

Our competitiveness and long-term profitability are, to a significant degree, dependent upon our ability to maintain relatively low costs and high efficiency of our operations.

Under the pressure of difficulties in the industry and the temporary decline in our sales, starting the fourth quarter of 2008 we took additional action on control over costs, financial risks and liquidity, in line with our complex anti-crisis program which includes a number of operating and financial measures.

The table below sets out the breakdown of costs of production and sales by major categories for the years ended 31 December:

	2009		2008		Change
	Amount	Share	Amount	Share	
	US\$000		US\$000		
Payroll	48,139	23%	68,086	20%	(29)%
Materials	41,350	19%	52,922	16%	(22)%
Other taxes	14,625	7%	22,866	7%	(36)%
Payroll taxes	13,302	6%	19,574	6%	(32)%
Electricity	12,771	6%	16,296	5%	(22)%
Other costs and expenses	12,585	6%	41,321	12%	(70)%
Cash cost of production⁽¹⁾	142,772		221,065		(35)%
Depreciation, depletion (excluding mineral reserve) and amortization	36,370	17%	75,915	22%	(52)%
Depletion of mineral reserves	34,510	16%	41,890	12%	(18)%
Cost of production	213,652	100%	338,870	100%	(37)%
Transportation costs	14,805		12,191		21%
Cost of resold goods	8,123		1,088		647%
Change in finished goods	5,416		(1,435)		n/a
Cost of sales	242,996		350,714		(31)%

(1) Cash cost of production represents cost of sales before railway tariff, cost of resold goods, changes in finished goods and depreciation, depletion and amortization. We present cash cost of production and other measures calculated using cash cost of production because we consider them important supplemental measures of our operating performance and believe they are frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in coal industry. Cash cost of production and other measures calculated using cash cost of production have limitations as analytical tools, and you should not consider them in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our operating results as reported under IFRS. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our IFRS operating results and using cash cost measures only as supplemental. Cash cost of production and other measures calculated using cash cost of production are measures of our operating performance that is not required by, or presented in accordance with, IFRS. Cash cost of production and other measures calculated using cash cost of production are not measurement of our operating performance under IFRS and should not be considered as an alternative to net income, operating income or any other performance measures derived in accordance with IFRS.

The table below sets out our cash costs of coal concentrate production for the years ended 31 December:

	2009	2008	Change
	US\$000	US\$000	
Estimated cash cost of raw coal used in concentrate preparation ⁽¹⁾	128,180	197,986	(35)%
Cash cost of preparation	15,580	19,020	(18)%
Total cash cost of coal concentrate produced	143,760	217,006	(34)%
	<i>US\$ per tonne</i>		
Cash cost per tonne of raw coal produced	12.0	21.5	(44)%
Preparation cash cost per tonne of raw coal used	1.5	2.1	(29)%
Total cash cost per tonne of coal concentrate produced⁽²⁾	18.4	30.9	(40)%

(1) Estimated cash cost per tonne of raw coal used in concentrate preparation is a computed value calculated based on the volumes of raw coal used in concentrate preparation and the average cash cost per tonne of raw coal produced.

(2) Raw coal restated in tonnes of coal concentrate at output ratio of 73.4% and 76.3% for the years ended 31 December 2009 and 2008, respectively.

OA O Raspadskaya
Management's discussion and analysis
of financial condition and results of operations
for the year ended 31 December 2009

The decrease in our cash cost per tonne of coal concentrate produced in 2009 as compared to 2008 was affected by the 28% increase in the average ruble/dollar exchange rate as well as by the 44% decrease in the cash cost per tonne of raw coal produced, the 12% growth in raw coal production volumes, the decrease in preparation cash cost per tonne of coal used, and the 11% increase in the coal concentrate preparation volumes. The decrease in the ruble denominated cash cost of production reflects a stricter cost control introduced by management.

The decrease in our cash cost of raw coal production was driven by a decrease in underground development, overburden removal and major repairs, and by a decrease in materials prices (including steel products and fuel and grease).

As compared to the first half of 2009, in the second half of 2009 the cash cost per tonne of raw coal produced increased by 17% – from US\$10.9 to US\$12.8 per tonne. The increase was affected by a 39% increase in underground development and a 20% increase in overburden removal, both of which were driven by the 53% increase in raw coal production.

In 2010, as a consequence of a growth in sales and production volumes, we expect a certain increase in the costs of underground development, overburden removal, major repairs and labor costs.

Payroll and payroll taxes

The table below sets out our overall payroll costs and related payroll taxes for the years ended 31 December:

	2009	2008	Change
Average total number of employees	7,474	7,863	(5)%
Total net payroll, US\$000	80,161	108,263	(26)%
Total payroll taxes, US\$000	19,202	28,080	(32)%
Average annual payroll per employee, net, US\$	10,725	13,769	(22)%
Effective payroll tax rate	24%	26%	

The decrease in the overall payroll costs in 2009 as compared to 2008 reflected the decrease in the headcount number and the reduction of the average annual payroll per employee which was a temporary anti-crisis measure. In 2010, average annual payroll per employee in ruble terms will increase as compared to 2009.

The payroll taxes contain the unified social tax ("UST") and mandatory industrial accident and occupational disease insurance charges. UST includes our regular contributions to the State Pension Fund of Russia in accordance with the Russian legislation. We have no legal or constructive obligation to pay further contributions in respect of the State benefits.

Other taxes

Taxes included in production costs consist primarily of the mineral extraction tax. The decrease of US\$8.2m in the tax amount was due to the decrease in coal prices.

Materials and electricity

The decrease in materials cost by US\$11.6m and electricity cost by US\$3.5m was primarily caused by the decrease in underground development and overburden removal in 2009 as compared to 2008.

Other costs and expenses

In 2009, as compared to 2008, other costs and expenses decreased by US\$28.7m due to a decrease in mining equipment repairs.

OA O Raspadskaya
Management's discussion and analysis
of financial condition and results of operations
for the year ended 31 December 2009

Depreciation, depletion and amortization

Depreciation, depletion and amortization comprise a significant portion of our cost of production. Decrease in depreciation expense in 2009 as compared to 2008 comprised the decrease of depreciation charge in ruble terms by 41% mostly driven by reassessment of remaining useful lives of items of property, plant and equipment (remaining useful lives of such items were extended), enforced by the effect of changes in the ruble/US\$ exchange rate. The decrease in depletion was due to the increase in the average ruble/dollar exchange rate.

Railway costs

All the coal products which we sell are transported by railway. We are among the few Russian coal producers who own and operate an integrated coal transportation network that is directly connected to the federal railway system operated by the state monopoly OAO Russian Railways. Our proprietary coal transportation network includes 15 kilometers of railway which connects our production facilities with the federal railway station at Mezhdurechensk.

Our coal concentrate and raw coal is delivered to customers by Russian Railways via the federal railway system. Fluctuations of the railway tariffs affect the total cost paid by our customers, and as such, may impact the demand for our coal from any customers located far from our production site. From 1 January 2009 the railway tariffs increased by 4.8%, from 1 July – by 5.7% and from 1 January 2010 – by 9.4% more (expressed in rubles).

Production facilities maintenance

Our activities are dependent upon our ability to maintain steady production levels. Therefore, the availability and development of mineral reserves, maintenance of our mining equipment and overall facilities, as well as ensuring safe working conditions for our personnel is crucial for the results of our operations. Our top priorities are keeping our mining equipment in very good condition and maintaining a healthy and safe working environment at each of our facilities through the implementation of stringent safety measures.

Selling, general and administrative and other expenses

Selling and distribution costs

Selling and distribution costs mainly included transportation and loading services in ports, customs fees pertaining to the export sales. The increase in selling and distribution costs by US\$6.4m in 2009 as compared to 2008 was mainly due to the commencement of export sales to Asia under FOB Far East terms.

General and administrative expenses

The table below sets out the breakdown of general and administrative expenses by major categories for the years ended 31 December:

	2009		2008		Change
	Amount	Share	Amount	Share	
	US\$000		US\$000		
Payroll	26,844	51%	28,394	42%	(5)%
Other taxes	9,134	17%	10,830	16%	(16)%
Payroll tax	4,676	9%	5,884	9%	(21)%
Raw materials	875	2%	2,451	4%	(64)%
Insurance	316	1%	751	1%	(58)%
Depreciation and amortization	2,456	5%	2,782	4%	(12)%
Other services and costs	8,628	15%	16,141	24%	(47)%
	52,929	100%	67,233	100%	(21)%

OAO Raspadskaya
 Management's discussion and analysis
 of financial condition and results of operations
 for the year ended 31 December 2009

In 2009, the decrease in general and administrative expenses by US\$14.3m was a result of the growth in the ruble/dollar exchange rate. The ruble denominated general and administrative expenses did not change in 2009 as compared to 2008. Other taxes mainly consist of property tax, the increase in property tax was due to the commencement of operations of our preparation plant's second stage. Other services and costs include bank services, information, communication, consulting, audit and other fees.

Social and social infrastructure maintenance expenses

As is the case for many of large Russian production companies, we bear certain social costs and social infrastructure maintenance expenses which are primarily in the form of donations and assistance to social sphere objects.

The decrease in social and social infrastructure maintenance expenses was due to the fact that in 2008 certain one-time payments for financing safety and environmental protection programs were made.

Foreign exchange gains/(losses)

Our revenues from domestic sales accounted for 73% and 79% of total revenue for the years ended 31 December 2009 and 2008, respectively. Prices for domestic sales are set in rubles. Most of our costs (except for certain equipment purchases) are also denominated in rubles.

Foreign exchange gains and losses relate to the difference arising from revaluation of assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies (primarily US dollars) and exchange rate differences on sales and purchase of foreign currencies. In the year ended 31 December 2009, the US dollar has appreciated by 2.9% against the Russian ruble. As a result, we incurred foreign exchange loss of US\$15.5m for the period. The loss mainly resulted from the revaluation of our US dollar denominated borrowings.

Interest income and interest expense

Interest income mainly related to short-term deposits held in various Russian banks. In 2009, our interest expense of US\$25.3m primarily related to the coupon payments on eurobonds due in May 2012.

Income tax expense

In 2009, the amount of income tax represented the tax accrued in the amount of US\$34.0m. The effective income tax rate was 22% in 2009, and was consistent with the prior year.

Liquidity, capital resources and capital expenditures

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash generated from operating activities, debt financing and access to equity capital markets. We plan to finance our capital expenditures, interest expenses and dividends primarily out of our operating cash flows.

The table below summarizes our IFRS cash flow statement for the years ended 31 December:

	2009	2008	Change
	<i>US\$000</i>	<i>US\$000</i>	
Cash and cash equivalents at 1 January	71,555	82,311	(13)%
Net cash from operating activities	220,666	585,257	(62)%
Net cash used in investing activities	(208,648)	(405,107)	(48)%
Net cash used in financing activities	(49,538)	(176,396)	(72)%
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(5,758)	(14,510)	(60)%
Cash and cash equivalents at 31 December	28,277	71,555	(60)%

As at 31 December, cash and cash equivalents and bank deposits were US\$209.5m in 2009 and US\$186.6m in 2008.

OA O Raspadskaya
Management's discussion and analysis
of financial condition and results of operations
for the year ended 31 December 2009

We intend to maintain a sufficient level of liquidity to continue our business in changing economic environment.

Capital expenditures represented the major part of the cash used in our investing activities. The following table sets out our capital expenditures by business activities for the years ended 31 December:

	2009		2008		Change
	Amount	Share	Amount	Share	
	US\$000		US\$000		
Mines in operation	117,656	72%	208,805	73%	(44)%
Mine under construction	30,075	18%	60,409	21%	(50)%
Mining licenses	14,656	9%	–	–	n/a
Preparation plant	66	0%	6,491	2%	(99)%
Other	2,206	1%	9,869	4%	(78)%
	164,659	100%	285,574	100%	(42)%

The following table sets out the calculation of our Consolidated EBITDA,⁽¹⁾ as stipulated in the Loan Agreement on the eurobonds, for the years ended 31 December:

	2009	2008	Change
	US\$000	US\$000	
Profit for the period	117,137	531,117	(78)%
Adjusted for:			
Foreign exchange gains/(losses), net	15,529	63,352	(75)%
Gain/(loss) on net monetary position	623	110	466%
Consolidated Profit	133,289	594,579	(78)%
Adjusted for:			
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	79,325	123,210	(36)%
Capitalized depreciation	(4,633)	(1,588)	192%
Dividend income	(12)	(14)	(14)%
Interest income	(12,322)	(12,813)	(4)%
Interest expense	25,307	27,214	(7)%
Amortization of debt issuance cost	(401)	(512)	(22)%
Capitalized interest	1,560	989	58%
Income tax	32,966	139,472	(76)%
Consolidated EBITDA	255,079	870,537	(71)%

(1) EBITDA represents profit for the period before foreign exchange gains/(losses), gain/(loss) on net monetary position, depreciation, depletion and amortization, dividend income, interest income and expense, capitalized interest and income tax expense. We present EBITDA because we consider it an important supplemental measure of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of companies in our industry. EBITDA has limitations as an analytical tool, and you should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our operating results as reported under consolidated IFRS financial statements. We compensate for these limitations by relying primarily on our consolidated IFRS operating results and are using EBITDA only as a supplement. EBITDA is a measure of our operating performance that is not required by or presented in accordance with IFRS. EBITDA is not a measurement of our operating performance under IFRS and should not be considered as an alternative to profit for the period, profit before income tax or any other performance measures derived in accordance with IFRS or as an alternative to cash flow from operating activities or as a measure of our liquidity. In particular, EBITDA should not be considered as a measure of discretionary cash available to us to invest in the growth of our business.

The following table sets out our Consolidated Net Indebtedness as at 31 December:

	2009	2008	Change
	US\$000	US\$000	
Loans (including interest)	331,727	351,147	(6)%
Adjusted for:			
Bank deposits	(181,195)	(115,045)	57%
Cash and cash equivalents	(28,277)	(71,555)	(60)%
Consolidated Net Indebtedness	122,255	164,547	(26)%

In 2009, our long-term debt included primarily 7.5% eurobonds in amount of US\$300m due 2012.

OAO Raspadskaya
Management's discussion and analysis
of financial condition and results of operations
for the year ended 31 December 2009

One of the covenants stipulated in the Loan Agreement on the eurobonds is Net Leverage Ratio which represents the ratio of Consolidated Net Indebtedness to 12-month Consolidated EBITDA. Net Leverage Ratio may not exceed 3. As at 31 December 2009, Net Leverage Ratio was 0.48.

As at 31 December 2009, none of the covenants stipulated in the Loan Agreement on the eurobonds was infringed. We believe none of the covenants will be infringed in the foreseeable future.

Off-balance sheet arrangements

We do not have off-balance sheet arrangements that have, or are reasonably likely to have, a current or future material effect on our financial condition, revenues and expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources.